

ARE CLOUD COMPUTING COMPANIES OFFERING A SECOND BITE AT THE CHERRY?

Christopher Gannatti – Global Head of Research, WisdomTree.
26 Jan 2023

On 18 December 2022, Jason Lemkin posted a blog titled “Right Back to Where We Were 3 Years Ago.” It caught my attention because he was looking at an earlier version of the following:

Figure 1: Cumulative performance of select equity indices over 3 years ended 30 December 2022



Source: WisdomTree, Bloomberg. Period from 31 December 2019 to 30 December 2022. All returns are net total returns, meaning any dividends are assumed to be reinvestment net of tax withholdings. EMCLOUDN refers to the BVP Nasdaq Emerging Cloud Index. S&P 500 refers to the S&P 500 Index. Nasdaq-100 refers to the Nasdaq 100 Index.

**You cannot invest directly in an index.
Historical performance is not an indication of future performance and any investments may go down in value.**

This is a staggering illustration for those of us who have been following the performance of software-as-a-service (SaaS) cloud computing companies. It tells us, quite clearly, that the impact of the ‘pandemic pull-forward’ of demand for software consumption is completely removed from the 3-year performance number.


To us, it means that it is time to ask a simple question: is the market giving us a ‘do-over’, meaning that we can now access companies at something similar to ‘pre-pandemic’ levels, or is the jig up and the cloud business model doomed to fade away into the sunset?

SaaS companies have evolved significantly since 2019

In Figure 2, we wanted to look at valuation over the same period. Even if the share price performances of the underlying companies have run up and then fallen back in most cases—leading to the observed performance of the BVP Nasdaq Emerging Cloud Index—we have not been seeing companies reporting widespread negative year-over-year revenue growth. Instead, we’ve tended to see the revenue growth ranges shifting downwards, with the median figure for the Index now closer to the 30% level, whereas it was higher than 40% for a period of time ending roughly one year ago.

If prices have dropped but sales have continued to grow, it’s possible to see that the valuation opportunity at present is better than it was in December of 2019, 3-years ago. In Figure 2, we see that the price-to-sales ratio was 7.0-8.0x during this period, whereas presently it is below 4.5x. We agree that these stocks should be less expensive today, in that the risk today is higher and the cost of capital is also higher. We can’t know with certainty if the current price levels perfectly encapsulate this risk, but it is simply important to know that the risk does look like it is being accounted for.

Figure 2: Historical Price-to-Sales Ratio since Live Inception of the BVP Nasdaq Emerging Cloud Index



Source: WisdomTree, Bloomberg. Period from 02 October 2018 to 29 December 2022. Historical Price-to-Sales ratio data is from Bloomberg.

**You cannot invest directly in an index.
 Historical performance is not an indication of future performance and any
 investments may go down in value.**

In our opinion, within software-as-a-service companies, one must always marry looking at valuation with looking at revenue growth. Many of these firms, as yet, do not carry through positive net income to the bottom lines of their income statements, so if one can look at a reasonable fundamental, sales seems to make the most sense at this point in the development of the megatrend. We do view this as a megatrend, which means the time horizon we are thinking about is not the next 12 months or couple of years, but something that should unfold over a decade.


Figure 3 shows a notable evolution in that, in December of 2021, companies currently held (meaning those companies in the index as of December 2021) in the BVP Nasdaq Emerging Cloud Index were 2x as expensive on an enterprise-value-to-sales basis relative

to the companies in the Nasdaq 100. Looking at the same multiple in December 2022, the Index comprised of software-as-a-service stocks costs almost the same as the broad technology benchmark.

Growth, on the other hand, has come down more slowly than valuation. Now, this is 'revenue growth', not earnings growth or cash flow growth, but we note that companies are still growing, and some are still delivering results ahead of wall street's expectations. If the Nasdaq 100 is growing something close to 10% and the BVP Nasdaq Emerging Cloud Index is growing something close to 30%, is this a worthwhile trade-off? The Nasdaq Index represents, predominantly, proven, established businesses, with some of the world's most valuable companies, measured by their market capitalisations, getting the top weights. This risk profiles of these groups of stocks should be quite different, but if we are able to think not of the next 12 months but rather the next 10 years, does the difference in risk potentially make sense?

We do feel comfortable to conclude there is a better chance to make sense at the present valuation trade-off than it did at the near-term market high observed in November 2021, even if it's impossible to know the future with certainty.

Figure 3: SaaS Companies Showcase Higher Growth at Similar Aggregate Multiple to the Nasdaq 100 Index



Source: WisdomTree, Bloomberg. All fundamentals data is from Bloomberg. EMCLLOUDN is the BVP Nasdaq Emerging Cloud Index. In the calculation of presented metrics the underlying index constituents and weights are fixed as of 30 December 2022 and only fundamentals data is changing. Sales growth is represented by a weighted average sales growth of the index constituents. Sales growth for the underlying constituents is computed year-over-year from either quarterly, semi-annual or annual data, based on whichever is available in Bloomberg starting from quarterly data. EV stands for the Enterprise Value. EV-to-Sales is represented by a weighted harmonic mean of the EV-to-Sales for the index constituents. In the aggregation of presented metrics at the index level the weight of the constituents with missing data was distributed proportionately between the constituents that have the data for the indicated period.

**You cannot invest directly in an index.
Historical performance is not an indication of future performance and any investments may go down in value.**

Where the rubber meets the road: what do SaaS companies do?

In our opinion, no discussion of cloud computing or SaaS companies is complete without giving some treatment to what the companies do. SaaS is just a business model—a way to

provide/consume software that competes with other ways to provide/consume software. Do people prefer subscription models, or would they want to go back to a world where they need to buy a DVD and physically hold and use their own copy? If the software is necessary and valuable, and the company can execute their strategy, we have confidence in the long term. If, on the other hand, the software is discretionary and more 'nice-to-have' than needed, then there could be more risks. We see the following functional groupings as a starting point:

- **Cybersecurity:** companies like CrowdStrike, SentinelOne, Cloudflare, Zscaler and Darktrace focus on cybersecurity. Subscribing to cybersecurity protection makes sense because we know that the attackers are always evolving. Stagnant protection would eventually lead to limited protection. Many SaaS cybersecurity firms are not necessarily trading at single digit price-to-sales multiples, but it's also the case that cybersecurity has received massive attention from investors in 2022, largely due to the Russia/Ukraine conflict.
- **Software development:** companies like Twilio, Atlassian and New Relic are involved with running platforms useful to software development. Twilio and Atlassian have faced challenges in their share price performance during their most recent quarterly earnings reporting periods. However, we believe that the service they provide for software development remains critical.
- **Business services:** a company like Bill.com is very interesting, in that it is an example of a service that helps small and mid-sized firms manage their expenses. It's a good case to remember because companies will tend to employ services like this to create efficiencies and save costs and time. We couldn't ever say this company (or others like it) would be immune to recessionary pressures, but we find it important to note that it also may not be the first subscription to cut either.

Cloud computing and software-as-a-service companies do not have long histories of operation where we can look back at their performance during the Global Financial Crisis of 2008-09, and we'd have to assume that, if they were around in 2001 and 2002, their performance as the 'tech bubble' burst would have been significantly negative. To say these companies are completely resilient to recession is not a thesis that has been proving out in 2022. However, we'd note that their revenues are still growing, so it's not the case either that these companies immediately reverted to negative revenue growth and collapsing fundamentals. If people view this as a megatrend, as we do at WisdomTree, the current period in the coming months could be a much more interesting entry point than anything we have seen recently, even if near-term performance could still be challenging.

Related blogs

- + [Cloud computing: what are the big players telling us?](#)
- + [Cloud computing: keep looking at the growth!](#)

Related products

- + [WisdomTree Cloud Computing UCITS ETF - USD Acc \(WCLD/KLWD\)](#)
- + [WisdomTree Cybersecurity UCITS ETF - USD Acc \(WCBR/CYSE\)](#)

View the online version of this article [here](#).

Important Information

Marketing communications issued in the European Economic Area (“EEA”): This document has been issued and approved by WisdomTree Ireland Limited, which is authorised and regulated by the Central Bank of Ireland.

Marketing communications issued in jurisdictions outside of the EEA: This document has been issued and approved by WisdomTree UK Limited, which is authorised and regulated by the United Kingdom Financial Conduct Authority.

WisdomTree Ireland Limited and WisdomTree UK Limited are each referred to as “WisdomTree” (as applicable). Our Conflicts of Interest Policy and Inventory are available on request.

For professional clients only. The information contained in this document is for your general information only and is neither an offer for sale nor a solicitation of an offer to buy securities or shares. This document should not be used as the basis for any investment decision. Investments may go up or down in value and you may lose some or all of the amount invested. Past performance is not necessarily a guide to future performance. Any decision to invest should be based on the information contained in the appropriate prospectus and after seeking independent investment, tax and legal advice.

The application of regulations and tax laws can often lead to a number of different interpretations. Any views or opinions expressed in this communication represent the views of WisdomTree and should not be construed as regulatory, tax or legal advice. WisdomTree makes no warranty or representation as to the accuracy of any of the views or opinions expressed in this communication. Any decision to invest should be based on the information contained in the appropriate prospectus and after seeking independent investment, tax and legal advice.

This document is not, and under no circumstances is to be construed as, an advertisement or any other step in furtherance of a public offering of shares or securities in the United States or any province or territory thereof. Neither this document nor any copy hereof should be taken, transmitted or distributed (directly or indirectly) into the United States.

Although WisdomTree endeavours to ensure the accuracy of the content in this document, WisdomTree does not warrant or guarantee its accuracy or correctness. Where WisdomTree has expressed its own opinions related to product or market activity, these views may change. Neither WisdomTree, nor any affiliate, nor any of their respective officers, directors, partners, or employees accepts any liability whatsoever for any direct or consequential loss arising from any use of this document or its contents.